Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/916,969	CAMBRIDGE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Jeffrey D. Popham	2137
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Jeffrey D. Popham</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Kevin Zilka</u> .	(4)	·
Date of Interview: <u>28 June 2005</u> Time: <u>12:30</u>		
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
	,	
Claims discussed:		
Claim 1.	· · ·	
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed a supplemental action in which an examiner's amendment adding "computer-implemented" before "method" in claim 1, thus making the claim statutory.		
Part III.	•	
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Ge Pu Cliverell eddied		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		